

EVALUATION GRID

Co-funded by the European Union (Ref: NDICI CHALLENGE/2023/448-254)

1. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND SCORING

Criteria	Description	Max. Score
Participation in the Campus and in the Agenda Setting Meetings	<p>The applicant participated in the YOU(th) CARE Campus held between September and November 2024.</p> <p>Participation demonstrates prior engagement with the project's objectives and methodologies.</p>	10 (5 +5)
Alignment with the Care Approach	<p>The project proposal clearly integrates the Care Approach, focusing on interdependence for the transformative community building, prioritising people before profit, and assuring intersectionality, anti patriarchy, anti fascism, and collective well-being (care glossary available in the call for proposals).</p>	15
Alignment with the YOU(th) CARE Agendas	<p>The project proposal clearly refer to the country-based priorities coming out to the territorials YOU(th) CARE agendas (priorities available in the call for proposals)</p>	10
Commitment to Intersectionality	<p>The project aligns with intersectional values, promoting inclusivity, gender equality, and social justice.</p> <p>It acknowledges and addresses multiple forms of discrimination, ensuring diverse and marginalized voices are included.</p>	10
Feasibility and Impact	<p>The project is realistic, well-structured, it has a clear logic of intervention and a clear impact on the target audience and community.</p> <p>It presents clear goals, measurable outcomes, and a meaningful impact on the target audience and community.</p>	25
Innovation and Creativity	<p>The proposal presents innovative and creative solutions to address the identified needs.</p> <p>It introduces new methodologies, tools, or perspectives that enhance its effectiveness and engagement.</p>	15



Budget and Resource Allocation	The budget is clear, realistic, and aligns with the project goals. Resource allocation is justified and demonstrates efficient financial planning.	15
Total Score	/100	

2. EVALUATION PROCESS

1st STEP: TERRITORIAL EVALUATION COMMITTEES (5 persons):

- Project Manager
- Focal Point (when is the case)
- MEAL expert
- National expert(s), who previously participated in the implementation of previous YC activities; or national expert(s), who have a strong background in youth engagement and cooperation projects
- Youth CSO's Representative (not linked to applicants)

The Territorial Committee will examine all the proposals presented by Youth Groups in their reference territories/Countries and will examine all the project documents submitted in English and other Languages.

Each person part of the Territorial Committee will assess the scoring individually, after carefully reading the project proposals. Then, a meeting will be organized (online or onsite) to set the final scoring.

The Territorial Committee will elaborate the list of the projects according to the collective evaluation and prepare a report showing strengths and weaknesses of each proposal to facilitate the 2nd Step Evaluation.

2nd STEP: INTERNATIONAL EVALUATION COMMITTEES:

- 1 Representative per Project Partner (8)
- 1 Representative of the Youth Advisory Board
- 1 Financial Manager
- 1 Representative of the MEAL Unit



The International Committee will examine all the proposals presented by Youth Groups through the abstract in English and the report made by the Territorial Committee.

Each person of the International Committee will assess the scoring individually, after carefully reading the documents. Then, a meeting will be organised (online or onsite) to set the final scoring.

The committee will elaborate the final list of the project according to the collective evaluation and prepare a final report.